

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/644,201	08/20/2003	Jason Knaus	PERZ 2 00269	5740	
7590 05/04/2004			EXAMINER		
Christopher B. Fagan			WILSON, GREGORY A		
Fay, Sharpe, Fagan, Minnich & McKee, LLP			L DEL LA LE	D + DCD > 11 11 4DCD	
1100 Superior Avenue, 7th Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Cleveland, OH 44114-2518			3749		
			DATE MAIL ED: 05/04/200	DATE MAILED: 05/04/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/644,201	KNAUS, JASON	KNAUS, JASON			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Gregory A. Wilson	3749				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet	t with the correspondence ac	idress			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some period for reply will, by some period for reply will, so the period for reply will, so the period for reply will. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may t. reply within the statutory minimum of riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) N latute, cause the application to become	y a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered time MONTHS from the mailing date of this of a ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>0</u>	8 April 2004.					
2a)□		This action is non-final.					
3)	•						
٥/۵	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	 ✓ Claim(s) 1-3 and 5-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. ✓ Claim(s) 1-3,5 and 6 is/are allowed. ✓ Claim(s) 7-10,12,15-17,20 and 21 is/are rejected. ✓ Claim(s) 11,13,14,18 and 19 is/are objected to. ✓ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Applicat	ion Papers						
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Example The drawing(s) filed on 20 August 2003 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the control The oath or declaration is objected to by the	are: a) \square accepted or b) \square the drawing(s) be held in abe rection is required if the draw	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ing(s) is objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for force All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in priority documents have be reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	n Application No een received in this National	l Stage			
Attachmen	· ·	4) M Internit	ew Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE er No(s)/Mail Date	Paper	No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PT	O-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 15-17 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nickel (4,286,573). Nickel discloses a heat trap assembly (SEE Figure 2) including a housing having a fluid inlet and a fluid outlet (10, 12, 22), a spherical sealing member (17) which covers the inlet of the housing including a first tail-like portion (18) that inherently restricts rotational movement of the sealing member in both the x & z axes, and a second portion (16) which restricts rotation about the y-axis.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 7-10, 12, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nickel (4,286,573) in view of Thompson (1,173,620). Nickel discloses a heat trap assembly (SEE Figure 1) including a cage (15) with curved ribs

Art Unit: 3749

and having an opening defining a fluid outlet, a seat (13) opposite to the opening that defines the fluid outlet, the seat (13) including an opening that defines a fluid inlet, and a sealing member (14) trapped between the both openings. **Nickel** does teach in a separate embodiment, a post (18) but is not in conjunction with the configuration utilizing cage (15). **Thompson** teaches a post (tail portion) attached to a spherical sealing member. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which the subject matter pertains to have modified the sealing member of **Nickel** to include a post (tail portion) as taught by **Thompson** for the purpose of preventing rotational movement of the sealing member.

Page 3

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, filed 4/8/04, with respect to claims 1-3, 5, and 6 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of claims 1-3, 5, and 6 has been withdrawn.

Applicant's arguments, filed 4/8/04, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 7-10 and 12 under Nickel (4,286,573) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Nickel in view of Thompson (1,173,620).

Applicant's arguments filed 4/8/04 as they pertain to claims 15-17 & 20 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicant states that nowhere does the specification disclose restricting rotational movement, the Examiner

Art Unit: 3749

respectfully disagrees and directs the applicants attention to Figure 2 of Nickel (4,286,573) which clearly show the arms of catch (16) which when engaged with outer end (20, 22) would prevent rotation about the Y-axis. This is suggested in column 3, lines 44-51). The length of rod (18) itself as it is positioned within the heat trap, inherently restricts rotation about the X & Z axes. Regardless of it's intended use, this configuration structurally anticipates the applicants' invention and could function in the same way.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-3, 5 and 6 are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gregory A. Wilson whose telephone number is (703) 308-1239. The examiner can normally be reached on 7 am - 4:30 pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ira Lazarus can be reached on (703)308-1935. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/644,201 Page 5

Art Unit: 3749

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

GREGORY WILSON PRIMARY EXAMINER

Gaw

May 3, 2004